1	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS (SCBN 9990) United States Attorney
2	DOUGLAS SPRAGUE (CSBN 202121 ) Chief, Criminal Division
4 5 6 7 8 9	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 634052) Assistant United States Attorney  450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7359 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 denise.barton@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CR No. 03-07-70344 BZ
15	Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
16	) EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE v. ) PRELIMINARY HEARING AND
17	) EXCLUDING TIME JOHN DOE aka VADINHO aka
18	GERARDO GUILLERMO RUIZ- ) SANCHEZ, )
19	Defendant
20 21	On June 22, 2007, the parties appeared before the Court and stipulated that time should
22	be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from June 22, 2007 through July 10, 2007.
23	Further, counsel for the defendant waived the defendant's right to a preliminary hearing within
24	20 days, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(c), (d).
25	The parties now stipulate and request that the Court enter an Order that the Preliminary
26	Hearing be removed from the July 10, 2007 calendar and be continued until August 2, 2007 and
27	that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from July 10, 2007 through
28	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE PRELIMINARY HEARING AND EXCLUDING TIME - CR 03-07-70344 BZ

August 2, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel.

The Government is in the process of preparing and providing discovery to the defendant. Further, counsel for the Government and defense counsel are currently discussing pre-indictment resolution of this matter. Finally, counsel for the defendant does not believe it is within his client's best interest to hold a preliminary hearing within 10 days, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1(c),(d). The parties represent that granting this continuance is necessary for effective preparation of counsel to permit defense counsel to review discovery and to afford counsel time to discuss pre-indictment resolution, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

10 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS 12 United States Attorney

13 14

DATED: July 9, 2007 **DENISE MARIE BARTON** Assistant United States Attorney

16

17

15

DATED: July 9, 2007  $/_{\rm S}/$ **GEORGE BOISSEAU** 

18

Attorney for JOHN DOE aka VADINHO aka GERARDO GUILLERMO RUIZ-19 SANCHEZ

20 21

22

26

27

28

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 24 25

continued until August 2, 2007. For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from July 10, 2007 through August 2, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C.

The Preliminary Hearing shall be removed from the July 10, 2007 calendar and be

§3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING THE TIME LIMIT FOR THE PRELIMINARY HEARING AND EXCLUDING TIME - CR 03-07-70344 BZ

1	effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would
2	result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).
3	
4	
5	DATED:
6	Honorable James Larson United States Magistrate Judge
7	——
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 3:07-cr-00575-EDL Document 6 Filed 07/09/2007 Page 3 of 3